arXiv:1610.05395v2 [math.AP] 22 Oct 2016

DIFFUSIVE STABILITY OF SPATIALLY PERIODIC PATTERNS WITH A CONSERVATION LAW

ALIM SUKHTAYEV

Abstract. Applying the Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction approach introduced by Mielke and Schneider in their analysis of the fourth-order scalar Swift–Hohenberg equation, we carry out a rigorous small-amplitude stability analysis of Turing patterns for the model introduced by Matthews and Cox for pattern formation with a conservation law. Our results confirm that stability is accurately predicted in the small-amplitude limit by the formal modified Ginzburg–Lanadau system (mGL) consisting of a coupled Ginzburg–Landau equation and mean mode equation derived by Matthews and Cox, rigorously validating the standard weakly unstable approximation.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Main results 2
1.2. Discussion and open problems 4
2. Existence of periodic solutions 4
2.1. The Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction for the equation (2.1) 4
2.2. Periodic solutions $\tilde{u}$ of (2.1) 5
3. Stability of periodic solutions 9
3.1. Stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions: coperiodic case $\sigma = 0$ 10
3.2. Stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions: general case 15
4. Comparison with modified Ginzburg–Landau approximation 20
4.1. Modified Ginzburg–Landau derivation through multiscale expansion 21
4.2. Existence: exact theory vs. modified Ginzburg–Landau approximation 21
4.3. Stability: exact vs. modified Ginzburg–Landau linearized dispersion relations 23
References 26

1. Introduction

The topic of pattern formation has a wide range of applications and has attracted a lot of interest since the fundamental observation of Turing [T, C] that reaction diffusion systems modeling biological/chemical processes can develop patterns through destabilization of the homogeneous state.

Besides the question of existence, one of the fundamental topics is stability of periodic patterns and their behavior under small perturbations [E, NW, M1, M2, M3, S1, S2, DSSS, SSSU, JZ, JNRZ1, JNRZ2]. The formal small-amplitude theory of Eckhaus [E] deriving the Ginzburg–Landau equation as a canonical model for behavior near the threshold of instability in a variety of processes states that a regular periodic pattern is stable provided its wavenumber lies within the Eckhaus band. The rigorous characterization of spectral stability has been carried out in all details only

Date: October 25, 2016.

for the particular case of the (scalar) Swift-Hohenberg equation [M1, M2, S1] and recently for the Brusselator model [SZJV16].

However, there is a large class of problems for which the equation governing the modulation of small-amplitude patterns is not the Ginzburg–Landau equation, but the modified Ginzburg–Lanadau system (mGL), that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\hat{t}} A =& \partial_{\hat{x}}^2 A + A - |A|^2 A - AB, \\ \partial_{\hat{t}} B =& \sigma \partial_{\hat{x}}^2 B + \mu \partial_{\hat{x}}^2 (|A|^2),\ \sigma, \mu - \text{const.} \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Such situations occur when the system possesses a conservation law [CH93, MC00]. In particular, in [MC00], a few physical examples in which the formal modified Ginzburg–Lanadau system (mGL) arise are described, including convection with fixed-flux boundaries and convection in a magnetic field.

In this paper we carry out a rigorous small-amplitude stability analysis of Turing patterns for pattern formation with a conservation law. Our results confirm that stability is accurately predicted in the small-amplitude limit by the formal modified Ginzburg–Lanadau system (mGL) derived by Matthews and Cox [MC00], rigorously validating the standard weakly unstable approximation. To be more specific, our main focus is to consider the following model for pattern formation with a conservation law

$$\partial_t u = -\partial_x^2 \big[-(1+\partial_x^2)^2 u + \varepsilon^2 u - s u^2 - u^3 \big]. \tag{1.2}$$

For model (1.2), there is a Turing instability of the equilibrium state at $\varepsilon = 0$, with linear oscillating modes $ce^{\pm ix}$. Thus, following standard convention, we expect a smooth branch of solutions

$$u = \{\alpha e^{i(1+\varepsilon\omega)x} \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)\} + c.c., \tag{1.3}$$

bifurcating from $\varepsilon = 0$, where *c.c.* denotes complex conjugate, and ω lies in an appropriate range. Following the Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction program laid out in [M1, M2, S1], we describe the unique branch of solutions bifurcating from equilibrium in a neighborhood of the Turing instability, and give a detailed description of the spectrum of the linearized operator about the bifurcating solution, showing that it agrees in the Ginzbur–Landau regime to lowest order with that of the linearization of the modified Ginzburg–Landau system about the solution corresponding to (1.3).

The analysis for the Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction program involves a few key factors. In particular, one needs to use the symmetries of the original problem to obtain the sufficient estimates for remainder terms in the ultimately resulting 3×3 reduced equations to obtain the desired spectral description. Another key factor is to use the Weierstrass preparation theorem which narrows the problem of finding the eigenvalues of the 3×3 reduced spectral matrix to finding the roots of the third degree polynomial. Then one needs to regroup the coefficients of the resulting polynomial in order to decompose it into the first and second degree polynomials using Cardano's formulas. It turns out that the root of the first degree polynomial represents a non-critical eigenvalue of the 3×3 reduced spectral matrix.

Another contribution is to reframe the stability analysis of the modified Ginzburg–Landau system in a way illuminating the connection with Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction, for which, under appropriate interpretation/scaling, the two processes can be seen not only to generate the same final results but to match operation-by-operation.

1.1. **Main results.** We now state our main results. Let $H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$ denote the space of H^6 functions that are periodic on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$. Introducing the wave number k and making the independent coordinate change $x \to kx$, we may further normalize the set of periodic solutions with

wave number k to periodic solutions on the fixed interval $[0, 2\pi]$ of

$$0 = N(\varepsilon, k, \tilde{u}) := -k^2\partial_\xi^2\big[-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2\tilde{u} + \varepsilon^2\tilde{u} - s\tilde{u}^2 - \tilde{u}^3\big], \qquad N(\varepsilon, k, 0) \equiv 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Also, we consider the following problem

$$-k^2\big[-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2\tilde{u} + \varepsilon^2\tilde{u} - s\tilde{u}^2 - \tilde{u}^3\big] = q,\ q\text{-const.},\ u \in H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R}). \tag{1.5}$$

Set now $k = \sqrt{1+2\omega\varepsilon} = 1 + \omega\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$. Our first result rigorously characterizes bifurcation of periodic solutions of (1.2) from equilibrium state 0.

Theorem 1.1 (Existence)**.** *Let $s \in (-\sqrt{27/2}, \sqrt{27/2})$. Then there exists an ε_0 such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and all $\omega \in I_E = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ there is a unique small solution $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s} \in H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$ of (1.5) with $q = 0$ which is even in ξ, positive at $\xi = 0$, and has the expansion formula:*

$$\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}(\xi) = 6\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos\xi\varepsilon + \Big(-32\omega s^2\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{(27-2s^2)^3}}\cos\xi - \frac{2s(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2}\cos 2\xi\Big)\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3). \tag{1.6}$$

Note that when $\omega = \pm\frac{1}{2}$, $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s} \equiv 0$ reduces to the equilibrium (zero) solution.

Proof. Given in Section 2. □

Our second result rigorously characterizes diffusive stability/instability of bifurcating solutions. Linearizing (1.2) about $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{B}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}(\partial_\xi) : \mathrm{dom}(\hat{B}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}(\partial_\xi)) = H^6(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \\ &\hat{B}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}(\partial_\xi)v := -k^2\partial_\xi^2\big[-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2 + df(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s})\big]v. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

Next, we define the Bloch operator family: for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma) : L^2_{per}([0,2\pi]) \subset H^6_{per}([0,2\pi]) \longrightarrow L^2_{per}([0,2\pi]), \\ &B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)V := -k^2(\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2\big[-(1+k^2(\partial_\xi+i\sigma)^2)^2 + df(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s})\big]V, \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

where $k = \sqrt{1+2\omega\varepsilon} = 1 + \omega\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$.

Theorem 1.2 (Stability)**.** *Let $u_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}$ be the solution from Theorem 1.1. Then there exist $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, where ε_0 is taken from Theorem 1.1, $\sigma_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_0)$, all $\sigma \in [0, \sigma_0)$, all $\omega \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and all $s \in (-\sqrt{27/2}, \sqrt{27/2})$ the spectrum of $B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)$ has the decomposition:*

$$\mathrm{Sp}(B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)) = S \cup \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}, \tag{1.9}$$

where $\Re\lambda < -\delta$ for $\lambda \in S$ and $|\lambda_j| << 1$. Moreover, for $s \in [-\sqrt{\frac{27}{38}}, \sqrt{\frac{27}{38}}]$ and each fixed $\omega \in I_S = (-\min\{\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{27-38s^2}{12(27-14s^2)}}\}, \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{27-38s^2}{12(27-14s^2)}}\})$ there exists $\hat{\varepsilon}_0 \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_0)$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \hat{\varepsilon}_0)$, all $\sigma \in [0, \sigma_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re\lambda_1 \le c(\varepsilon,\omega) + \tilde{c}(\varepsilon,\omega)\sigma - \tilde{\tilde{c}}_1(\varepsilon,\omega,s)\sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^3), \\ &\Re\lambda_2 \le -\tilde{\tilde{c}}_2(\varepsilon,\omega,s)\sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^3), \\ &\Re\lambda_3 \le -\tilde{\tilde{c}}_3(\varepsilon,\omega,s)\sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^3), \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

for $c(\varepsilon,\omega) < 0 < \tilde{\tilde{c}}_j(\varepsilon,\omega,s)$, giving diffusive stability*, while if $s \in (\sqrt{\frac{27}{2}}, -\sqrt{\frac{27}{38}}) \cup (\sqrt{\frac{27}{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{27}{38}})$ or $\omega \in I_E \setminus \overline{I_S}$, then*

$$\max_\sigma\{\Re\lambda_1, \Re\lambda_2, \Re\lambda_3\} > 0, \tag{1.11}$$

giving diffusive instability*.*

Proof. Given in Section 3. □

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 together rigorously validate the predictions of the modified Ginzburg–Landau approximation regarding existence and stability of small bifurcating solutions.

Our third main result states that, within the Ginzburg–Landau regime $\lambda \sim \varepsilon^2$, $\sigma \sim \varepsilon$, the Ginzburg Landau approximation not only well-predicts stability/instability, but to lowest order also the linearized dispersion relations for the two smallest eigenmodes.

Theorem 1.3. *Setting $\sigma =: \varepsilon\hat{\sigma}$, $\lambda_j =: \varepsilon^2\hat{\lambda}_j$ in accordance with the Ginzburg–Landau scaling, λ_j as in* (1.10)*, we obtain expansions*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\lambda}_1(\hat{\sigma}) = -2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2 + (-\frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{4(1+4\omega^2)}{1-4\omega^2})\hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\sigma}|^3 + \varepsilon(1+|\hat{\sigma}|)),\\
&\hat{\lambda}_2(\hat{\sigma}) = \lambda_-\hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\sigma}|^3 + \varepsilon\hat{\sigma}^2),\\
&\hat{\lambda}_3(\hat{\sigma}) = \lambda_+\hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\sigma}|^3 + \varepsilon\hat{\sigma}^2),\\
&\lambda_\pm = \frac{(-5 + \frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} + \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2}) \pm \sqrt{(5 - \frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})^2 - 4(4 - \frac{144s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})}}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

for $|\hat{\sigma}| << 1$, agreeing to lowest order with the corresponding expansions for the associated modified Ginzburg–Landau approximation (cf. (4.22)*).*

Proof. Given in Section 4. □

1.2. **Discussion and open problems.** In [BJK16], the authors give several examples about bifurcating stable periodic waves from uniform states by Turing instability for parabolic systems of conservation laws. That is

$$u_t + f(\varepsilon, u)_x = (D(u)u_x)_x, \tag{1.13}$$

$u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. These results suggest an open problem of deriving the amplitude equations governing the modulation of the small-amplitude patterns for (1.13), and rigorously validating the standard weakly unstable approximation.

2. Existence of periodic solutions

In this section we study existence of periodic solutions, carrying out the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Then instability occurs at $\varepsilon = 0$ with the corresponding wave number $k = 1$ and we consider a two-paramametric (ε, k) family of stationary solutions $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,k}$ which bifurcate for $\varepsilon = 0$ from $u^* = 0$. In order to show that there are bifurcating periodic stationary solutions from $u^* = 0$, we use the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction. Let $\tilde{u}(\varepsilon, k, \xi)$ be 2π - periodic in ξ where $\xi = kx$ (that is, we assume $\frac{2\pi}{k}$ - periodic in x). We will look at the expression of the periodic solution $\tilde{u}$ in a neighborhood of $(\varepsilon, k, u) = (0, 1, 0)$. By (1.2), $\tilde{u}$ satisfies

$$0 = N(\varepsilon, k, \tilde{u}) := -k^2\partial_\xi^2\big[-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2\tilde{u} + \varepsilon^2\tilde{u} - s\tilde{u}^2 - \tilde{u}^3\big], \tag{2.1}$$

where $N : \mathbb{R}^2 \times H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow L^2_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$ is a C^ω mapping.

2.1. **The Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction for the equation** (2.1)**.** We first sketch the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction for the equation (2.1). Since $N(0, 1, 0) = 0$, we want to study the stationary periodic solutions of the equation (2.1) in a neighborhood of $(0, 1, 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$. We define

$$L_{per} := \partial_{\tilde{u}} N(0, 1, 0) = \partial_\xi^2(1 + \partial_\xi^2)^2. \tag{2.2}$$

If L_{per} was invertible and L_{per}^{-1} was bounded from $L^2_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$ to $H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$, then by the Implicit Function Theorem, in a neighborhood of $(0, 1, 0)$, there would exist a unique solution

$\tilde{u}(\xi) = \phi(\varepsilon, k)$ satisfying (2.1) for some C^ω function ϕ. In this case, however, L_{per} is not invertible, so we apply the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction. We first denote the kernel and range of L_{per} by $\ker(L_{per})$ and $\mathrm{ran}(L_{per})$, respectively. Moreover, we assume the decompositions:

$$H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R}) = \ker(L_{per}) \oplus X_1 \quad \text{and} \quad L^2_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{ran}(L_{per}) \oplus Y_1, \tag{2.3}$$

where X_1 and Y_1 are topological complements of $\ker(L_{per})$ and $\mathrm{ran}(L_{per})$ in $H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$, respectively. Then there are two continuous projections $P : H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ and $Q : L^2_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow L^2_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathrm{ran}(P) = \ker(L_{per}) \quad \text{and} \quad \ker(Q) = \mathrm{ran}(L_{per}), \tag{2.4}$$

that is, $P(H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})) = \ker(L_{per})$ and $(I-Q)(L^2_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})) = \mathrm{ran}(L_{per})$. Now we decompose $\tilde{u} \in H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ into $U+V$, where $U = P\tilde{u} \in \ker(L_{per})$ and $V = (I-P)\tilde{u} \in X_1$. Then one can rewrite the equation (2.1) as

$$QN(\varepsilon, k, U+V) = 0, \quad (I-Q)N(\varepsilon, k, U+V) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

We first focus on the second equation. Defining

$$G(\varepsilon, k, U, V) := (I-Q)N(\varepsilon, k, U+V) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

notice that $G(0,1,0) = (I-Q)N(0,1,0) = 0$ and

$$\partial_V G(0, \pm 1, 0) = (I-Q)\partial_{\tilde{u}} N(0,1,0) = (I-Q)L_{per} = L_{per}. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $L_{per} : (I-P)H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow (I-Q)L^2_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ is bijective, applying the Implicit Function Theorem, $G(\varepsilon, k, U, V)$ can be solved for V in $(I-P)H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ as a function of (ε, k, U). More precisely, there exist an open neighborhood Ω of $U = 0$ in $\ker(L_{per})$, an open neighborhood Γ of $(\varepsilon, k) = (0,1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and a C^ω function $\Phi : \Omega \times \Gamma \longrightarrow \ker(P)(= X_1)$ such that $\Phi(0,1,0) = 0$ and

$$(I-Q)N(\varepsilon, k, U + \Phi(\varepsilon, k, U)) \equiv 0, \tag{2.8}$$

for all $(\varepsilon, k, U) \in \Gamma \times \Omega$. We now substitute $V = \Phi(\varepsilon, k, U)$ into the first equation of (2.5) in order to obtain the bifurcation equation:

$$QN(\varepsilon, k, U + \Phi(\varepsilon, k, U)) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Setting

$$B(\varepsilon, k, U) = QN(\varepsilon, k, U + \Phi(\varepsilon, k, U)), \tag{2.10}$$

B is a C^ω function from $\Gamma \times \Omega$ to Y_1 which has a finite dimension, $B(0,1,0) = 0$ and $\partial_U B(0,1,0) = 0$. Actually, solving (2.9) is equivalent to solving the original equation (2.1), that is, it is enough to solve the finite -dimensional problem $B(\varepsilon, k, U) = 0$ locally in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \ker(L_{per})$.

Remark 2.1. In the above argument, $(I-P)H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R}) = \ker(P) = X_1$

2.2. **Periodic solutions $\tilde{u}$ of (2.1).** By linearization of (2.1) about $u^* = 0$, we have

$$\partial_{\tilde{u}} N(\varepsilon, k, u^*)[U] = -k^2\partial_\xi^2\big(-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2 + \varepsilon^2\big)[U]. \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, putting $\varepsilon = 0$, $k^2 = 1$, we have

$$L_{per}U := \partial_{\tilde{u}} N\,(0,1,0)\,[U] = \partial_\xi^2(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2[U]. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that L_{per} is self-adjoint and the kernel of L_{per} is spanned by

$$U_1(\xi) = \cos\xi \qquad U_2(\xi) = \sin\xi \quad \text{and} \quad U_3(\xi) = 1. \tag{2.13}$$

Now, in order to use Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, we first define the zero eigenprojection

$$Qu = \langle U_1, u\rangle U_1 + \langle U_2, u\rangle U_2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle U_3, u\rangle U_3, \quad \text{where} \quad \langle u, v\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} u \cdot v d\xi, \tag{2.14}$$

and define the mapping

$$\tilde{Q} : L^2_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^3; u \mapsto (\langle U_1, u\rangle, \langle U_2, u\rangle, \frac{1}{2}\langle U_3, u\rangle)^T, \tag{2.15}$$

that is, $\tilde{Q}$ is just a vector form in $\mathbb{R}^3$ of the projection Q. Decomposing $\tilde{u} \in H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$ into $\alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_2 U_2 + \alpha_3 U_3 + V$, where $PV = 0$, we see that the linearization (2.12) is invertible on $(I - P)H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, recalling (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{Q}N(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_2 U_2 + V) = 0, \\ &(I - Q)N(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_2 U_2 + \alpha_3 U_3 + V) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$(I - Q)N(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_2 U_2 + \alpha_3 U_3 + V) : \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathrm{ran}(I - P) \to \mathrm{ran}(I - Q). \tag{2.17}$$

By the Implicit Function Theorem, there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ of $(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ and a unique function $V : U \to (I - P)H^6_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R})$ that solves the second equation of (2.16) for $(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in U$. After we substitute V into the first equation of (2.16), the reduced system (or the bifurcation system) will be $O(2)$ equivariant with respect to α_1 and α_2. This is due to the fact that the original problem is translation invariant and reflection symmetric. And since there is second derivative with respect to ξ in (2.1) the projection onto U_3 in (4.26) is 0. Hence, we can conclude that the nontrivial part of the reduced system is of the form

$$f(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2, \alpha_3)\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2\end{pmatrix} = 0, \tag{2.18}$$

f is a real-valued scalar function (c.f. [CL, Chapters 2,5]).

Next, let us find asymptotic expansion of V with respect to parameter α_1 and set $\alpha_2 = 0$.

1.) First of all, it is clear that $V(\varepsilon, k, 0, 0, \alpha_3) = 0$.

2.) Now, we differentiate the second equation of (2.16) with respect to α_1.

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\alpha_1}(I - Q)N(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_3 U_3 + V) = (I - Q)[-k^2\partial_\xi^2\big([-(1 + k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2 + \epsilon^2](U_1 + \partial_{\alpha_1} V) \\ &- (2su + 3u^2)(U_1 + \partial_{\alpha_1} V)\big)] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

where $u = \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_3 U_3 + V$.

Hence, by step 1.),

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\alpha_1}(I - Q)N(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_3 U_3 + V)|_{\alpha_1 = 0} \\ &= (I - Q)[-k^2\partial_\xi^2[-(1 + k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2 + \epsilon^2 - 2s\alpha_3 - 3\alpha_3^2](\partial_{\alpha_1} V)] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Since the operator $(I - Q)[-k^2\partial_\xi^2(-(1 + k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2 + \epsilon^2 - 2s\alpha_3 - 3\alpha_3^2)](I - P)$ is invertible in the neighborhood of $(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, $\partial_{\alpha_1} V(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1, 0, \alpha_3)|_{\alpha_1 = 0} = 0$.

3a.) Next, we would like to compute $\partial^2_{\alpha_1} V(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1, 0, \alpha_3)|_{\alpha_1 = 0}$.

We differentiate (2.19) with respect to α_1.

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial^2_{\alpha_1}(I - Q)N(\varepsilon, k, \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_3 U_3 + V) = (I - Q)[-k^2\partial_\xi^2\big([-(1 + k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2 + \epsilon^2](\partial^2_{\alpha_1} V) \\ &- (2s + 6u)(U_1 + \partial_{\alpha_1} V)^2 - (2su + 3u^2)\partial^2_{\alpha_1} V\big)] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(2.22)\qquad &\partial^2_{\alpha_1}(I-Q)N(\varepsilon,k,\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V)|_{\alpha_1=0}\\ &=(I-Q)[-k^2\partial^2_\xi\big([-(1+k^2\partial^2_\xi)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2)](\partial^2_{\alpha_1}V)-(2s+6\alpha_3)U_1^2\big)]=0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (2.22), we obtain

$$(2.23)\qquad (I-Q)[-k^2\partial^2_\xi\big([-(1+k^2\partial^2_\xi)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2)](\partial^2_{\alpha_1}V)|_{\alpha=0}\big)=4(s+3\alpha_3)k^2\cos 2\xi.$$

Since $span\{\cos 2\xi\}$ is an invariant subspace for the invertible operator $(I-Q)[-k^2\partial^2_\xi(-(1+k^2\partial^2_\xi)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2))](I-P)$, $\partial^2_{\alpha_1}V|_{\alpha_1=0}$ is of the form $a\cos 2\xi$. It follows from (2.23) that

$$(2.24)\qquad 4k^2(-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2))a=4(s+3\alpha_3)k^2.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.25)\qquad \partial^2_{\alpha_1}V|_{\alpha=0}=\frac{s+3\alpha_3}{-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2)}\cos 2\xi.$$

4.) Next, we compute $\partial^3_{\alpha_1}V(\varepsilon,k,\alpha_1,0,\alpha_3)|_{\alpha_1=0}$.
We differentiate (2.21) with respect to α_1.

$$\begin{aligned}(2.26)\qquad &\partial^3_{\alpha_1}(I-Q)N(\varepsilon,k,\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V)=(I-Q)[-k^2\partial^2_\xi\big([-(1+k^2\partial^2_\xi)^2+\epsilon^2](\partial^3_{\alpha_1}V)\\ &-6(U_1+\partial_{\alpha_1}V)^3-3(2s+6u)(U_1+\partial_{\alpha_1}V)\partial^2_{\alpha_1}V-(2su+3u^2)\partial^3_{\alpha_1}V\big)]=0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(2.27)\qquad &\partial^3_{\alpha_1}(I-Q)N(\varepsilon,k,\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V)|_{\alpha_1=0}=(I-Q)[-k^2\partial^2_\xi\big([-(1+k^2\partial^2_\xi)^2\\ &\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2)](\partial^3_{\alpha_1}V)-6U_1^3-3(2s+6\alpha_3)U_1\partial^2_{\alpha_1}V\big)].\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.25) that

$$(2.28)\qquad (I-Q)[-k^2\partial^2_\xi\big(-(1+k^2\partial^2_\xi)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2))](\partial^3_{\alpha_1}V)=(\dots)\cos 3\xi.$$

Since $span\{\cos 3\xi\}$ is an invariant subspace for the invertible operator $(I-Q)[-k^2\partial^2_\xi(-(1+k^2\partial^2_\xi)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2))](I-P)$ $\partial^3_{\alpha_1}V|_{\alpha=0}$ is of the form $b(\alpha_3)\cos 3\xi$.
So far, we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}(2.29)\qquad &V(b,k,\alpha_1,0,\alpha_3)=\frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{s+3\alpha_3}{-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2)}\cos 2\xi\big)\alpha_1^2\\ &+\frac{1}{6}(b(\alpha_3)\cos 3\xi)\alpha_1^3+\mathcal{O}(|\alpha_1|^4).\end{aligned}$$

Let f denote the coefficient in front of α_1^2 in (2.29), that is,

$$(2.30)\qquad f=\frac{s+3\alpha_3}{2(-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2-(2s\alpha_3+3\alpha_3^2))}.$$

In order to obtain the reduced system, we substitute (2.29) into the first equation from (2.16), obtaining for $u=\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V$ the equation

$$(2.31)\quad \tilde{Q}N(b,k,\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V)=\tilde{Q}\Big[-k^2\partial^2_\xi\big[\big(-(1+k^2\partial^2_\xi)^2+\varepsilon^2\big)(\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V)-su^2-u^3\big]\Big]=0.$$

Next, we split the left-hand side of (2.31) into two parts.
a.) **Linear part.**

We have the following expression for the linear part from (2.31)

(2.32)
$$\tilde{Q}\Big[-k^2\partial_\xi^2\big[\big(-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2+\varepsilon^2\big)(\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V)\big]\Big]=(-(1-k^2)^2+\varepsilon^2)k^2\alpha_1+\mathcal{O}(|\alpha_1|^4)\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}.$$

b.) **Non-linear part.** We next treat the nonlinear terms

(2.33)
$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}\Big[-k^2\partial_\xi^2\big[-s(\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V)^2-(\alpha_1U_1+\alpha_3U_3+V)^3\big]\Big]&=[(-2s-3\alpha_3)k^2\alpha_3\alpha_1\\+(-sf-\frac{3}{4}-3\alpha_3f)k^2\alpha_1^3+\mathcal{O}(|\alpha_1|^4)]&\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account formulas (2.18) and (2.31), the nontrivial part of the reduced system has the form:

(2.34)
$$\big\{[(-(1-k^2)^2+\varepsilon^2-2s\alpha_3-3\alpha_3^2)]k^2-(\frac{3}{4}+sf+3\alpha_3f)k^2(\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2)+\mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^4)\big\}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_1\\\alpha_2\end{pmatrix}=0.$$

Let us introduce $\mathcal{A}$:

(2.35)
$$\mathcal{A}=\frac{-(1-k^2)^2+\varepsilon^2-2s\alpha_3-3\alpha_3^2}{\frac{3}{4}+sf+3\alpha_3f},$$

Following [MC00] we take $\alpha_2=0$, $\alpha_3=0$ and $\alpha_1=\alpha$. Then

(2.36)
$$\mathcal{A}=\frac{-(1-k^2)^2+\varepsilon^2}{\frac{3}{4}+sf},$$

where

(2.37)
$$f=\frac{s}{2(-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2)}.$$

Or,

(2.38)
$$\mathcal{A}=\frac{4(-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2)}{3(-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2)+2s^2}(\varepsilon^2-(1-k^2)^2)=\big(\frac{36}{27-2s^2}+\mathcal{O}(k-1,\varepsilon)\big)(\varepsilon^2-(1-k^2)^2).$$

Fron now on, we will assume that $27-2s^2>0$.
Our goal is to solve (2.34) for α in terms of ε and k.

Solving (2.34) is equivalent to solving

(2.39)
$$\mathcal{A}-\alpha^2+\mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^4)=0.$$

Next, plugging $\alpha=\sqrt{|\mathcal{A}|}\mathcal{B}$ into (2.39), we obtain

(2.40)
$$\mathcal{A}-|\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}^2+\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{A}|^2)=0,$$

or

(2.41)
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(1-\mathcal{B}^2+\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{A}|))=0 \text{ if } \mathcal{A}\geq 0,\\ \mathcal{A}(1+\mathcal{B}^2+\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{A}|))=0 \text{ if } \mathcal{A}\leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

We need to solve (2.41) in terms of $\mathcal{A}$. The second equation in (2.41) has no solutions. By the Implicit Function Theorem, there exists an open neighborhood $U\subset\mathbb{R}$ of 0 and a unique function $\mathcal{B}:U\to\mathbb{R}$ that solves the first equation of (2.41) for $\mathcal{A}\in U$. Therefore, we have the restriction

$\mathcal{A} \geq 0$. Hence, using formula (2.38), the restrictions on s and $\mathcal{A}$, we conclude that $\varepsilon^2 - (1-k^2)^2$ must be greater or equal to 0. Next, we introduce a scaling parameter ω defined by the equation

$$1 - k^2 = -2\omega\varepsilon. \tag{2.42}$$

Therefore,

$$k = \sqrt{1 + 2\omega\varepsilon}. \tag{2.43}$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{A} \geq 0 \text{ if and only if } k = \sqrt{1+2\omega\varepsilon} \text{ and } \omega \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]. \tag{2.44}$$

Note that when $\omega = \pm\frac{1}{2}$, $\mathcal{A} = 0$.

Next, using the first equation in (2.41), we arrive at the asymptotic formula for $\mathcal{B}$:

$$\mathcal{B} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}), \tag{2.45}$$

which implies that

$$\alpha = \sqrt{|\mathcal{A}|}\mathcal{B} = \sqrt{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}^{3/2}). \tag{2.46}$$

Since, k is a function of ε. $\mathcal{A}$ is a function of ε as well. In particular,

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{36(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{384\omega s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{(27-2s^2)^2}\varepsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3). \tag{2.47}$$

Therefore, using (2.46), we arrive at the asymptotic formula for α:

$$\alpha = 6\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\varepsilon - 32\omega s^2\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{(27-2s^2)^3}}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \tag{2.48}$$

Note that when $\omega = \pm\frac{1}{4}$, $\alpha = 0$.

Using formulas (2.29) and (2.48), we obtain the result of Theorem 1.1.

3. Stability of periodic solutions

In this section we study stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions established in Section 2, carrying out the proof of Theorem 1.2. Linearizing (1.2) about $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}$, we have

$$\hat{B}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}(\partial_\xi)v := -k^2\partial_\xi^2\big[-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2 + df(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s})\big]v, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\hat{B}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}(\partial_\xi) : \mathrm{dom}(\hat{B}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}(\partial_\xi)) = H^6(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} df(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}) &= \varepsilon^2 - 2s\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s} - 3\tilde{u}^2_{\varepsilon,\omega,s} \\ &= -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos\xi\varepsilon + (1 - \frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2} + 64\omega s^3\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{(27-2s^2)^3}}\cos\xi \\ &\quad - 2(1-4\omega^2)\cos 2\xi)\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore,

(3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}(\partial_\xi)v = -\partial_\xi^2\big[&-(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2 + \{-2\omega(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2 - 4\omega\partial_\xi^2(1+\partial_\xi^2) - 12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos\xi\}\varepsilon \\ &+ \{-8\omega^2\partial_\xi^2(1+\partial_\xi^2) - 4\omega^2\partial_\xi^4 + 1 - \frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2} + 8\omega s(14s^2-81)\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{(27-2s^2)^3}}\cos\xi \\ &- 2(1-4\omega^2)\cos 2\xi\}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\big]v. \end{aligned}$$

Since $df(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s})$ is 2π-periodic, every coefficient of the linear operator $\hat{B}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}$ is 2π-periodic. By substituting $v(\xi) = e^{i\sigma\xi}V(\xi)$ we define the Bloch operator family: for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)V = -k^2(\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2\big[-(1+k^2(\partial_\xi+i\sigma)^2)^2 + df(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s})\big]V, \tag{3.4}$$

where $B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma) : L^2_{per}([0,2\pi]) \subset H^6_{per}([0,2\pi]) \longrightarrow L^2_{per}([0,2\pi])$ and $k = 1+\omega\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$.

However, in order to study the spectral stability of $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}$, it is enough to consider $\sigma \in [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ because for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \sigma^* + m$, where $\sigma^* \in [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$; hence we consider $e^{im\xi}V(\xi)$ instead of $V(\xi)$. We now define the operator B_0:

$$B_0(\sigma) := B(0,\omega,s,\sigma) = -(\partial_\xi+i\sigma)^2\big[-(1+(\partial_\xi+i\sigma)^2)^2\big], \tag{3.5}$$

which has constant coefficients. Here, we consider Bloch operators $B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)$ as small perturbations of $B_0(\sigma)$. So we first study the eigenvalue problem of $B_0(\sigma)$:

$$B_0(\sigma)e^{im\xi} = \mu_m e^{im\xi}.$$

It is clear that $\mu_m = (m+\sigma)^2\big[-(1-(m+\sigma)^2)^2\big]$. Therefore, as long as σ is bounded away from 0, the spectrum of $B_0(\sigma)$ has negative upper bound. Moreover, $B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-B_0(\sigma)$ represents a small perturbation, that is, $B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-B_0(\sigma)$ is $B_0(\sigma)$-bounded (i.e. $\mathrm{dom}(B_0(\sigma)) \subset \mathrm{dom}\big(B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-B_0(\sigma)\big)$, $\|\big(B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-B_0(\sigma)\big)f\| \le a(\varepsilon,\sigma)\|f\| + b(\varepsilon,\sigma)\|B_0(\sigma)f\|$ for any $f \in \mathrm{dom}(B_0(\sigma))$, and $a(\varepsilon,\sigma), b(\varepsilon,\sigma) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$). Then if $\lambda \in \rho((B_0(\sigma))$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-\lambda &= B_0(\sigma)-\lambda+B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-B_0(\sigma) \\ &= [I+(B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-B_0(\sigma))(B_0(\sigma)-\lambda)^{-1}](B_0(\sigma)-\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Note that $(B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-B_0(\sigma))(B_0(\sigma)-\lambda)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2_{per}([0,2\pi]))$. And,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)-B_0(\sigma))(B_0(\sigma)-\lambda)^{-1}f\| &\le a(\varepsilon,\sigma)\|(B_0(\sigma)-\lambda)^{-1}f\| \\ &\quad + b(\varepsilon,\sigma)\|B_0(\sigma)(B_0(\sigma)-\lambda)^{-1}f\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\sigma \in [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$ and $a(\varepsilon,\sigma), b(\varepsilon,\sigma) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, using the standard resolvent estimates for the operator $B_0(\sigma)$ (cf. [EV87, Lemma 4.3], [RS80, Theorem VIII.17]), we conclude that the real part of the spectrum of $B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma)$ has negative upper bound for $\sigma \in [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}) \setminus \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \{\sigma | -\eta < \sigma < \eta\}$, η is sufficiently small.

From now on, we consider the following "dangerous set"

$$\Gamma = \{\sigma | -\eta < \sigma < \eta\} \tag{3.8}$$

for some sufficiently small $\eta > 0$.

3.1. **Stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions: coperiodic case $\sigma = 0$.** We now consider the eigenvalue problem of $B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)$:

$$0 = \Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)-\lambda I\Big]W. \tag{3.9}$$

In order to use the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, we decompose $W = \beta_1U_1+\beta_2U_2+\mathcal{V}$ and we first solve

$$0 = (I-Q)\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)-\lambda I\Big](\beta_1U_1+\beta_2U_2+\beta_3U_3+\mathcal{V}), \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$(I-Q)\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)-\lambda I\Big](\beta_1U_1+\beta_2U_2+\beta_3U_3+\mathcal{V}) : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathrm{ran}(I-P) \to \mathrm{ran}(I-Q). \tag{3.11}$$

By the Implicit Function Theorem, there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}^3$ of $(0,0,0,0,0)$ and a unique function $\mathcal{V}: U \to (I-P)H^6_{per}([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ that solves (3.10) for $(\varepsilon,\lambda,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)\in U$.

Next, it is clear that the relation between β and $\mathcal{V}$ is linear. Then, let $\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\lambda,\beta) = \mathcal{V}_1(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\lambda)\beta_1 + \mathcal{V}_2(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\lambda)\beta_2 + \mathcal{V}_3(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\lambda)\beta_3$. Now let us find asymptotic expansions of $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_2$ and $\mathcal{V}_3$ with respect to parameter ε.

1.) First, we compute $\mathcal{V}_i(0,\omega,\lambda) = \partial_{\beta_i}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$. We differentiate (3.10) with respect β_i and plug in 0 for ε.

$$0 = (I-Q)\Big[B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda I\Big](U_i + \partial_{\beta_i}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}). \tag{3.12}$$

Notice that $B(0,\omega,0)U_i = L_{per}U_i = 0$ and $(I-Q)U_i = 0$. Since $(I-Q)\Big[B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda I\Big](I-P)$ is invertible for small values of λ, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{V}_i(0,\omega,\lambda) = \partial_{\beta_i}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

2.) Now, we differentiate the second equation of (3.10) with respect to β_1 and ε and, then, plug in 0 for ε

$$0 = (I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon B(0,\omega,0)U_1 + (I-Q)(B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda)\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}, \tag{3.14}$$

or

$$(I-Q)(B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda)\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = -(I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon B(0,\omega,0)U_1. \tag{3.15}$$

Taking into account formulas (3.3) and (3.4), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &-(I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon B(0,\omega,0)U_1 = -(I-Q)(-\partial_\xi^2\big[-(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2 + \{-2\omega(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2 - 4\omega\partial_\xi^2(1+\partial_\xi^2)\\ &-12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos\xi\}\big])U_1 = -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}(I-Q)\partial_\xi^2(\cos^2\xi) = 24s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos 2\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Since $span\{\cos 2\xi\}$ is an invariant subspace for the invertible operator $(I-Q)(B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda)(I-P)$, $\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$ is of the form $a\cos 2\xi$.

Next, note that

$$\begin{aligned} &(I-Q)(B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda)(a\cos 2\xi) = a(I-Q)\big(\partial_\xi^2(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2 - \lambda\big)(\cos 2\xi)\\ &= a(-36-\lambda)\cos 2\xi \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Using (3.16)-(3.17), we derive that $a(-36-\lambda) = 24s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}$. Hence,

$$\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{24s}{-36-\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos 2\xi. \tag{3.18}$$

Similarly, $\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_2}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{24s}{-36-\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\sin 2\xi$, and it is also clear that $\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_3}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$.

So far, we have shown that

$$\mathcal{V} = (\frac{24s}{-36-\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos 2\xi\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2))\beta_1 + (\frac{24s}{-36-\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\sin 2\xi\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2))\beta_2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\beta_3.$$

3.) Now, we would like to compute $\partial_\varepsilon^2\partial_{\beta_i}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$. Differentiating the second equation of (3.10) with respect to β_1 and ε twice and, then, plugging in 0 for ε, we obtain

$$0 = (I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon^2 B(0,\omega,0)U_1 + 2(I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon B(0,\omega,0)\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} + (I-Q)(B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda)\partial_\varepsilon^2\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0},$$

or

$$(I-Q)(B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda)\partial_\varepsilon^2\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = -(I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon^2 B(0,\omega,0)U_1 - 2(I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon B(0,\omega,0)\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

Therefore,

(3.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(I-Q)(B(0,\omega,0)-\lambda)\partial_\varepsilon^2\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = -(I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon^2 B(0,\omega,0)U_1 - 2(I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon B(0,\omega,0)\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}\\
&= -2(I-Q)\big\{-\partial_\xi^2\big[-8\omega^2\partial_\xi^2(1+\partial_\xi^2) - 4\omega^2\partial_\xi^4 + 1 - \frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2} + 8\omega s(14s^2-81)\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{(27-2s^2)^3}}\cos\xi\\
&- 2(1-4\omega^2)\cos 2\xi\big]U_1 - \partial_\xi^2\big[-(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2 + \{-\omega(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2 - 2\omega\partial_\xi^2(1+\partial_\xi^2)\\
&- 12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos\xi\}\big]\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}\big\} = (*)\cos 2\xi + (*)\cos 3\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\partial_\varepsilon^2\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$ is of the form $(*)\cos 2\xi + (*)\cos 3\xi$. Similarly, $\partial_\varepsilon^2\partial_{\beta_2}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$ is of the form $(*)\sin 2\xi + (*)\sin 3\xi$, and $\partial_\varepsilon^2\partial_{\beta_3}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$ is of the form $(*)\cos 2\xi$.
Therefore,

(3.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} &= \Big(\frac{24s}{-36-\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos 2\xi\varepsilon + [(*)\cos 2\xi + (*)\cos 3\xi]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\Big)\beta_1\\
&+ \Big(\frac{24s}{-36-\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\sin 2\xi\varepsilon + [(*)\cos 2\xi + (*)\cos 3\xi]\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\Big)\beta_2 + \big((*)\cos 2\xi\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\big)\beta_3.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain the reduced system for the spectral problem, we substitute $W = \beta_1 U_1 + \beta_2 U_2 + \beta_3 U_3 + \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is given by (3.20) into the equation

$$0 = \tilde{Q}\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0) - \lambda I\Big]W, \tag{3.21}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \tilde{Q}\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0) - \lambda I\Big](\beta_1 U_1 + \beta_2 U_2 + \beta_3 U_3) + \tilde{Q}\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0) - \lambda I\Big]\mathcal{V}\\
&= \Big[\begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13}\\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23}\\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33}\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M}_{11} & \tilde{M}_{12} & \tilde{M}_{13}\\ \tilde{M}_{21} & \tilde{M}_{22} & \tilde{M}_{23}\\ \tilde{M}_{31} & \tilde{M}_{32} & \tilde{M}_{33}\end{pmatrix} + remainder\Big]\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\\ \beta_3\end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{11} =&\big[(1-4\omega^2)-\frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2}-(1-4\omega^2)+\frac{4s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2}\big]\varepsilon^2-\lambda,\ M_{12}=0,\\
M_{13} =&-12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\varepsilon+8\omega s(14s^2-81)\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{(27-2s^2)^3}}\varepsilon^2,\ M_{21}=0,\\
M_{22} =&\big[(1-4\omega^2)-\frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2}+(1-4\omega^2)+\frac{4s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2}\big]\varepsilon^2-\lambda,\ M_{23}=0,\\
M_{31} =&M_{32}=0,\ M_{32}=-\lambda,\\
\tilde{M}_{11} =&\frac{-144s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{(-36-\lambda)(27-2s^2)}\varepsilon^2,\ \tilde{M}_{12}=0,\ \tilde{M}_{13}=0,\\
\tilde{M}_{21} =&0,\ \tilde{M}_{22}=\frac{-144s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{(-36-\lambda)(27-2s^2)}\varepsilon^2,\ \tilde{M}_{23}=0,\ M_{3i}=0,\\
remainder =&\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.23}
$$

Or,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=&\begin{pmatrix}-2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2-\lambda & 0 & -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\varepsilon+8\omega s(14s^2-81)\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{(27-2s^2)^3}}\varepsilon^2\\ 0 & -\lambda & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\\ \beta_3\end{pmatrix}\\
&+\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon+|\lambda|)) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon+|\lambda|)) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\\ \beta_3\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}
$$

Now, we will establish the following refined remainder estimate.

Lemma 3.1. *The remainder in* (3.24) *has the form*

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon+|\lambda|)) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon+|\lambda|)) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon+|\lambda|)) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3(1+|\lambda|))\\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\lambda|) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|)\\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|)\end{pmatrix}
\tag{3.25}
$$

Proof. All we need to show is that if $\lambda=0$, then the reduced spectral system $\tilde{Q}B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)W$ is of the form

(3.26)

$$
\begin{pmatrix}-2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) & 0 & -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\varepsilon+8\omega s(14s^2-81)\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{(27-2s^2)^3}}\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\\ \beta_3\end{pmatrix}
$$

.

Now, we plug 0 for λ in (3.10) and then differentiate it with respect to β_1.

$$
0=(I-Q)B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)(U_1+\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}).
\tag{3.27}
$$

Let us also differentiate the second equation of (2.16) with respect to α_1 and then plug in 0 for α_2 and α_3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(I-Q)[-k^2\partial_\xi^2\big([-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2+\epsilon^2](U_1+\partial_{\alpha_1}V)\\
&-(2su+3u^2)(U_1+\partial_{\alpha_1}V)\big)]=0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.28}
$$

where $u = \alpha_1 U_1 + V$. Due to the uniqueness part in the Implicit Function Theorem, we conclude that

$$\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0,\beta) = \partial_{\alpha_1}V|_{\alpha_2=\alpha_3=0} = \big(\frac{s}{-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2}\cos 2\xi\big)\alpha_1 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha_1|^2). \tag{3.29}$$

Similarly, we conclude that

$$\partial_{\beta_2}\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0,\beta) = \partial_{\alpha_2}V|_{\alpha_2=\alpha_3=0} = \big(\frac{s}{-(1-4k^2)^2+\epsilon^2}\sin 2\xi\big)\alpha_1 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha_1|^2). \tag{3.30}$$

Therefore, in order to find the entries $(1,1)$, $(1,2)$ $(2,1)$ $(2,2)$ of the spectral matrix from the reduced system (3.21) we differentiate the first and second equations of (2.34) with respect to α_1 and α_2 respectively, and then plug 0 for α_2 and α_3. The trivial part of reduced system (2.34) implies that entries $(3,i)$ are equal to 0. Also, one can compute entries $(1,3)$ and $(2,3)$ by differentiating the first and second equations of (2.34) with respect to α_3 and then pluging 0 for α_2 and α_3. Hence,

$$\tilde{Q}B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)W = m\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\\ \beta_3\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &m_{11} = [(-(1-k^2)^2+\varepsilon^2]k^2 - 3(\frac{3}{4}+sf)k^2\alpha_1^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha_1|^4),\ m_{12}=0,\\ &m_{13} = -2sk^2\alpha_1 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha_1|^3),\ m_{21}=0,\\ &m_{22} = [(-(1-k^2)^2+\varepsilon^2]k^2 - (\frac{3}{4}+sf)k^2\alpha_1^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha_1|^4),\ m_{23}=0,\ m_{3i}=0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Note that $\frac{1}{\frac{3}{4}+sf}m_{22} = \mathcal{A} - \alpha^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^4)$ is exactly the left-hand side of (2.39). Using formulas (2.47) and (2.48), we arrive at formula (3.26) for the reduced spectral system $\tilde{Q}B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)W$.

□

Using the refined remainder estimate, we obtain the following characterization of co-periodic stability.

Proposition 3.2 (Co-periodic stability)**.** *Let $u_{\varepsilon,\omega,s}$ be the solution from Theorem 1.1. There exist $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \in (0,\varepsilon_0]$, where ε_0 is taken from Theorem 1.1, and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in [0,\tilde{\varepsilon}_0)$ and all $\omega \in [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$ the spectrum of $B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)$ has the decomposition:*

$$\mathrm{Sp}(B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,0)) = S \cup \{\lambda_1,\lambda_2\}, \tag{3.33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1(\varepsilon,\omega,s) = -2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3),\\ &\lambda_2(\varepsilon,\omega,s) = 0,\\ &\lambda_3(\varepsilon,\omega,s) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Moreover, if $\lambda \in S$, then $\Re\lambda < -\delta$.

Proof. Setting the determinant of the matrix from (3.24) equal to 0 and using Lemma 3.1, we obtain

(3.35)

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(c(\varepsilon,\omega,s) - \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\lambda|)\big)[(-\lambda+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\lambda|))(-\lambda+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\lambda|)) - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^6|\lambda|^2)] - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|)\\ &\times[\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|)(-\lambda+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|)) - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^6|\lambda|^2)] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^3|\lambda|)[\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^6|\lambda|^2) - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3|\lambda|)(-\lambda+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\lambda|))] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(\varepsilon) = -2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$. Or,

$$\lambda^3 - \lambda^2\big(c(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\lambda|)\big) + \lambda\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4|\lambda|) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^6|\lambda|^2) = 0. \tag{3.36}$$

After factorization we arrive at

$$\lambda^2(\lambda - \tilde{c}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\lambda|)) = 0. \tag{3.37}$$

where $\tilde{c}(\varepsilon) = -2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 = \tilde{c}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\lambda|), \\ &\lambda_2 = 0, \\ &\lambda_3 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

□

3.2. **Stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions: general case.** We now consider the eigenvalue problem of $B(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma)$:

$$0 = \Big[B(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma) - \lambda I\Big]W. \tag{3.39}$$

In order to use the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, we decompose $W = \beta_1 U_1 + \beta_2 U_2 + \beta_3 U_3 + \mathcal{V}$ and we first solve

$$0 = (I-Q)\Big[B(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma) - \lambda I\Big](\beta_1 U_1 + \beta_2 U_2 + \beta_3 U_3 + \mathcal{V}). \tag{3.40}$$

Next, we go through steps described in the previous section. Next, it is clear that the relation between β and $\mathcal{V}$ is linear. Then, let $\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma, \lambda, \beta) = \mathcal{V}_1(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma, \lambda)\beta_1 + \mathcal{V}_2(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma, \lambda)\beta_2 + \mathcal{V}_3(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma, \lambda)\beta_3$. Now let us find asymptotic expansions of $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_2$ and $\mathcal{V}_3$ with respect to parameter ε.

1.) First, we compute $\mathcal{V}_i(0, \omega, \sigma, \lambda) = \partial_{\beta_i}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$.

a.) Differentiating (3.40) with respect β_i and plugging in 0 for ε, we obtain

$$0 = (I-Q)\Big[B(0, \omega, \sigma) - \lambda I\Big](U_i + \partial_{\beta_i}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &(I-Q)\Big[B(0, \omega, \sigma) - \lambda I\Big]\partial_{\beta_i}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = -(I-Q)\Big[B(0, \omega, \sigma) - \lambda I\Big]U_i \\ &= -(I-Q)\big[(\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2(1 + (\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2)^2 - \lambda\big]U_i = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{V}_1(0, \omega, \sigma, \lambda) = 0$.

2.) Next, we would like to compute $\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_i}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$.

a.) We start with $\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$. Differentiating (3.40) with respect β_1 and ε, and plugging in 0 for ε, we obtain $0 = (I-Q)\big\{\partial_\varepsilon B(0, \omega, \sigma)(U_1 + \partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}) + [B(0, \omega, \sigma) - \lambda I]\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}\big\}$.

Therefore, $(I-Q)[B(0, \omega, \sigma) - \lambda I]\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = -(I-Q)\partial_\varepsilon B(0, \omega, \sigma)(U_1 + \partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0})$, or, using (3.3),

(3.42)

$$\begin{aligned} &(I-Q)[B(0, \omega, \sigma) - \lambda I]\partial_\varepsilon\partial_{\beta_1}\mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = (I-Q)(\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2\big[-2\omega(1 + (\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2)^2 \\ &- 4\omega(\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2(1 + (\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2) - 12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos\xi]U_1 = -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}(I-Q)(\partial_\xi + i\sigma)^2\cos^2\xi \\ &= -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}[(-2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})\cos 2\xi - 2i\sigma\sin 2\xi]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\partial_\varepsilon \partial_{\beta_1} \mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0}$ is of the form

$$\partial_\varepsilon \partial_{\beta_1} \mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = (*)\sin 2\xi + (*)\cos 2\xi. \tag{3.43}$$

b.) In a similar fashion, one can show that $\partial_\varepsilon \partial_{\beta_2} \mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = (*)\sin 2\xi + (*)\cos 2\xi$ and $\partial_\varepsilon \partial_{\beta_3} \mathcal{V}|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$. Overall, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,\lambda,\beta) = &\big(((*)\sin 2\xi + (*)\cos 2\xi)\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\big)\beta_1 + \big(((*)\sin 2\xi + (*)\cos 2\xi)\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\big)\beta_2 \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\beta_3.\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

In order to obtain the reduced system for the spectral problem, we plug $W = \beta_1 U_1 + \beta_2 U_2 + \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is given by (3.44), into the equation

$$0 = \tilde{Q}\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma) - \lambda I\Big]W. \tag{3.45}$$

Using (3.3) and (3.44), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = m\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\\ \beta_3\end{pmatrix} &:= \tilde{Q}\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma) - \lambda I\Big](\beta_1 U_1 + \beta_2 U_2 + \beta_3 U_3) + \tilde{Q}\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma) - \lambda I\Big]\mathcal{V} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -4\sigma^2 + c(\varepsilon) - \lambda & 8i\omega\sigma\varepsilon & -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\varepsilon \\ -8i\omega\sigma\varepsilon & -4\sigma^2 - \lambda & 0 \\ -6s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\sigma^2\varepsilon & 0 & -\sigma^2 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\\ \beta_3\end{pmatrix} + O\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\\ \beta_3\end{pmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &c(\varepsilon) = -2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ &O_{11} = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^4 + \sigma^2\varepsilon + (|\lambda|(1+\sigma)+\sigma)\varepsilon^2),\ O_{12} = \mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^3 + |\sigma|^3\varepsilon + |\sigma|\varepsilon^2 + |\lambda|\varepsilon^3), \\ &O_{13} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2(1+|\sigma|) + |\lambda|\varepsilon^3),\ O_{21} = \mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^3 + |\sigma|^3\varepsilon + |\sigma|\varepsilon^2 + |\lambda|\varepsilon^3), \\ &O_{22} = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^4 + \sigma^2\varepsilon + (|\lambda|(1+|\sigma|)+\sigma)\varepsilon^2),\ O_{23} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\sigma| + |\lambda|\varepsilon^3), \\ &O_{31} = \mathcal{O}((1+|\lambda|)|\sigma|\epsilon^2 + |\lambda|\varepsilon^3),\ O_{32} = \mathcal{O}((1+|\lambda|)|\sigma|\epsilon^2 + |\lambda|\varepsilon^3), \\ &O_{33} = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^4 + \sigma^2\varepsilon + |\sigma|\varepsilon^2 + |\lambda|\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

One can improve the error estimates in (3.46) using symmetric properties of the eigenvalue problem (3.39). In particular, we gain additional information on elements of matrix m.

Lemma 3.3. *The diagonal elements of matrix m and elements m_{13}, m_{31} in* (3.46) *are even in σ and all other elements are odd in σ. Moreover, if λ is real then m_{ii}, m_{13} and m_{31} are real-valued while all other elements are purely imaginary.*

Proof. First, we note that (3.39) possesses two symmetries [M2]

$$\begin{aligned} &[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma) - \lambda I\Big]R_1 = R_1[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma) - \lambda I\Big],\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \\ &[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma) - \lambda I\Big]R_2 = R_2[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma) - \lambda I\Big],\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

where $R_1 W(\xi) = W(-\xi)$, and $R_2 W(\xi) = \overline{W}(\xi)$.
Following the steps of proof of Proposition 3.3 [GS, Chapter VII], one can show that the reduced

system (3.45) commutes with symmetries defined in (3.48), i.e.

(3.49)
$$m(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,\lambda)\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\-\beta_2\\\beta_3\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}m_{11}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda) & m_{12}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda) & m_{13}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda)\\-m_{21}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda) & -m_{22}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda) & -m_{23}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda)\\m_{31}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda) & m_{32}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda) & m_{33}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\\beta_2\\\beta_3\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$. And

$$m(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,\lambda)\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\\beta_2\\\beta_3\end{pmatrix}=\overline{m}(\varepsilon,\omega,s,-\sigma,\lambda)\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\\beta_2\\\beta_3\end{pmatrix},\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{3.50}$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *The error matrix in* (3.46) *has the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{O}(\sigma^4+\sigma^2\varepsilon+|\lambda|(1+\sigma^2)\varepsilon^2) & \mathcal{O}(\sigma^3+|\sigma|^3\varepsilon+|\sigma|\varepsilon^2+|\lambda\sigma|\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2(1+\sigma^2)+|\lambda|\varepsilon^3)\\\mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^3+|\sigma|^3\varepsilon+|\sigma|\varepsilon^2+|\lambda\sigma|\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\sigma^4+\sigma^2\varepsilon+|\lambda|(1+\sigma^2)\varepsilon^2) & \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\sigma|+|\lambda\sigma|\varepsilon^3)\\\mathcal{O}((1+|\lambda|)\sigma^2\epsilon^2+|\lambda|\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}((1+|\lambda|)|\sigma|\epsilon^2+|\lambda\sigma|\varepsilon^3) & \mathcal{O}(\sigma^4+\sigma^2\varepsilon+|\lambda|\varepsilon^3)\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us take the determinant of m from formula (3.46).

$$\begin{aligned}\det m(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,\lambda) :=& -\lambda^3+\lambda^2\big(c(\varepsilon)-9\sigma^2+\mathcal{O}(\sigma^4+\sigma^2\varepsilon+|\lambda|(1+\sigma^2)\varepsilon^2)\big)\\&-\lambda\big(24\sigma^4-5c(\varepsilon)\sigma^2-72s^2A\sigma^2\varepsilon^2-64\omega^2\sigma^2\varepsilon^2\\&+\mathcal{O}(\sigma^6+\sigma^4\varepsilon+(\sigma^2+|\lambda|)\sigma^2\varepsilon^2+(1+|\lambda|)\sigma^2\varepsilon^3+|\lambda|\varepsilon^4)\big)\\&-16\sigma^6+4c(\varepsilon)\sigma^4+64\omega^2\sigma^4\varepsilon^2+288s^2A\sigma^4\varepsilon^2\\&+\mathcal{O}(\sigma^8+\sigma^6\varepsilon+(\sigma^2+|\lambda|)\sigma^4\varepsilon^2+(1+|\lambda|)\sigma^4\varepsilon^3+|\lambda|^2\varepsilon^6),\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

where $A=\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}$ and $c(\varepsilon)=-2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$. Notice that the error terms are real. According to the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem, there exists an analytic function $q(\varepsilon,\sigma,\lambda)$ in a neighborhood of $(0,0,0)$ such that $q(0,0,0)=-1$ and

$$q(\varepsilon,\sigma,\lambda)\det m(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,\lambda)=\lambda^3+a_2\lambda^2+a_1\lambda+a_0. \tag{3.52}$$

Notice that

(3.53)
$$\begin{aligned}a_0(\varepsilon,\sigma)&=q(\varepsilon,\sigma,0)\det m(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,0)=-\det m(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,0)+\mathcal{O}\big(\sigma^4(\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3\big)\\&=16\sigma^6-4c(\varepsilon)\sigma^4-64\omega^2\sigma^4\varepsilon^2-288s^2A\sigma^4\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}\big(\sigma^4(\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3\big),\\a_1(\varepsilon,\sigma)&=q'_\lambda(\varepsilon,\sigma,0)\det m(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,0)+q(\varepsilon,\sigma,0)(\det m)'_\lambda(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,0)\\&=-(\det m)'_\lambda(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,0)+\mathcal{O}(\sigma^2(\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3)=24\sigma^4-5c(\varepsilon)\sigma^2-72s^2A\sigma^2\varepsilon^2-64\omega^2\sigma^2\varepsilon^2\\&+\mathcal{O}(\sigma^2(\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3),\\a_2(\varepsilon,\sigma)&=-\frac{1}{2}(\det m)''_\lambda(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\sigma,0)+\mathcal{O}((\varepsilon+\sigma)^3)=-c(\varepsilon)+9\sigma^2+\mathcal{O}((\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the eigenvalue problems boils down to the third order polynomial

(3.54)
$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda^3+\big(-c(\varepsilon)+9\sigma^2+\mathcal{O}((\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3)\big)\lambda^2-\sigma^2\big(-24\sigma^2+5c(\varepsilon)+(72s^2A+64\omega^2)\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}((\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3)\big)\lambda\\&-\sigma^4(-16\sigma^2+4c(\varepsilon)+(288s^2A+64\omega^2)\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}\big((\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3\big))=0.\end{aligned}$$

Since the error terms in the $\det m$ are real, they are in (3.54) as well. In particular, if $\omega=\pm\frac{1}{2}$ the product of the eigenvalues $\sigma^4(-16\sigma^2+4c(\varepsilon)+(288s^2A+64\omega^2)\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}\big((\varepsilon+|\sigma|)^3\big))>0$ for small

enough σ and positive ε. Therefore, we conclude that $\max \Re\lambda_i > 0$ if $\omega = \pm\frac{1}{2}$.
Next, our goal is to factor out a cubic polynomial. For this purpose we will use the well-known decomposition procedure, that is, we make a substitution $\lambda = \mu - \frac{1}{3}a_2$. Then the general cubic equation becomes

$$\mu^3 + 3Q\mu - 2R = 0, \tag{3.55}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{3a_1 - a_2^2}{9}, \\ R &= \frac{9a_2a_1 - 27a_0 - 2a_2^3}{54}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

Then one can factor out a cubic polynomial as

$$\mu^3 + 3Q\mu - 2R = (\mu - B)(\mu^2 + B\mu + D + Q), \tag{3.57}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \big[R + \sqrt{Q^3 + R^2}\big]^{1/3} + \big[R - \sqrt{Q^3 + R^2}\big]^{1/3}, \\ D &= \big[R + \sqrt{Q^3 + R^2}\big]^{2/3} + \big[R - \sqrt{Q^3 + R^2}\big]^{2/3}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

Therefore, the roots are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= -\frac{1}{3}a_2 + B, \\ \lambda_{2,3} &= \frac{-\frac{2}{3}a_2 - B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4(D+Q)}}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

Next, we compute Q, R, B, D. First, we rewrite a_i in the following fasion:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= P_{04}\sigma^4 + \mathcal{O}\big(\sigma^6(\varepsilon+1)\big), \\ a_1 &= P_{12}\sigma^2 + P_{14}\sigma^4 + \mathcal{O}\big(|\sigma|^5(\varepsilon+1)\big), \\ a_2 &= P_{20} + P_{22}\sigma^2 + P_{24}\sigma^4 + \mathcal{O}\big(|\sigma|^5(\varepsilon+1)\big), \end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{04} = -4c(\varepsilon) - 64\omega^2\varepsilon^2 - 288s^2A\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) + \sigma\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \\ &P_{12} = -5c(\varepsilon) - 72s^2A\varepsilon^2 - 64\omega^2\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) + \sigma\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2),\ P_{14} = 24 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \\ &P_{20} = -c(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) + \sigma\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2),\ P_{22} = 9 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

(3.62)

$$\begin{aligned} Q = \frac{3a_1 - a_2^2}{9} &= \frac{3P_{12}\sigma^2 + 3P_{14}\sigma^4 - (P_{20}^2 + 2P_{20}P_{22}\sigma^2 + 2P_{20}P_{24}\sigma^4 + P_{22}^2\sigma^4) + \mathcal{O}\big(|\sigma|^5(\varepsilon+\sigma)\big)}{9} \\ &= \frac{-P_{20}^2 + (3P_{12} - 2P_{20}P_{22})\sigma^2 + (3P_{14} - 2P_{20}P_{24} - P_{22}^2)\sigma^4 + \mathcal{O}\big(|\sigma|^5(\varepsilon+1)\big)}{9}, \\ R = \frac{9a_2a_1 - 27a_0 - 2a_2^3}{54} &= \frac{9(P_{20}P_{12}\sigma^2 + (P_{20}P_{14} + P_{22}P_{12})\sigma^4) - 27P_{04}\sigma^4}{54} \\ &\underline{-2(P_{20}^3 + 3P_{20}^2P_{22}\sigma^2 + 3(P_{20}P_{22}^2 + P_{20}^2P_{24})\sigma^4) + \mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^5(\varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon|\sigma| + |\sigma|))} \\ &= \frac{-2P_{20}^3 + (9P_{20}P_{12} - 6P_{20}^2P_{22})\sigma^2 + (9P_{20}P_{14} + 9P_{22}P_{12} - 27P_{04} - 6P_{20}P_{22}^2 - 6P_{20}^2P_{24})\sigma^4}{54} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^5(\varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon|\sigma| + |\sigma|)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute Q^3+R^2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^3+R^2 = &\frac{-P_{20}^6+3P_{20}^4(3P_{12}-2P_{20}P_{22})\sigma^2-3P_{20}^2(3P_{12}-2P_{20}P_{22})^2\sigma^4}{3^6}\\
&\underline{+3P_{20}^4(3P_{14}-2P_{20}P_{24}-P_{22}^2)\sigma^4}+\frac{4P_{20}^6-4P_{20}^3(9P_{20}P_{12}-6P_{20}^2P_{22})\sigma^2}{4\cdot 3^6}\\
&\underline{-4P_{20}^3(9P_{20}P_{14}+9P_{22}P_{12}-27P_{04}-6P_{20}P_{22}^2-6P_{20}^2P_{24})\sigma^4}\\
&\underline{+(9P_{20}P_{12}-6P_{20}^2P_{22})^2\sigma^4}+\mathcal{O}(|\sigma|^5(\varepsilon+|\sigma|))=\big[-\frac{P_{20}^2P_{12}^2}{108}+\frac{P_{20}^3P_{04}}{27}\big]\sigma^4\\
&+\mathcal{O}(\sum_{j=5}^{12}|\sigma|^j\varepsilon^{12-j}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.63}
$$

Now, let $\sigma=\varepsilon\hat{\sigma}$. Then, the roots are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= (-\frac{1}{3}\tilde{a}_2+\tilde{B})\varepsilon^2,\\
\lambda_{2,3} &= \frac{-\frac{2}{3}\tilde{a}_2-\tilde{B}\pm\sqrt{-3\tilde{B}^2-12\tilde{Q}}}{2}\varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.64}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{3}\tilde{a}_2 &= -\frac{2}{3}(1-4\omega^2)-3\hat{\sigma}^2+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon+|\sigma|)\sum_{j=0}^{1}C_j(\hat{\sigma}^2)^j,\\
\tilde{B} &= \big[-\frac{8}{27}(1-4\omega^2)^3+\hat{\sigma}^6+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon+|\sigma|)\sum_{j=0}^{3}\tilde{C}_j(\hat{\sigma}^2)^j+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\tilde{\tilde{C}}_j(\hat{\sigma}^2)^j+\sqrt{\tilde{Q}^3+\tilde{R}^2}\big]^{1/3}\\
&\quad+\big[-\frac{8}{27}(1-4\omega^2)^3+\hat{\sigma}^6+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon+|\sigma|)\sum_{j=0}^{3}\tilde{C}_j(\hat{\sigma}^2)^j+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\tilde{\tilde{C}}_j(\hat{\sigma}^2)^j-\sqrt{\tilde{Q}^3+\tilde{R}^2}\big]^{1/3},\\
\tilde{Q} &= -\frac{4}{9}(1-4\omega^2)^2-\hat{\sigma}^4+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon+|\sigma|)\sum_{j=0}^{2}\hat{C}_j(\hat{\sigma}^2)^j+\hat{\hat{C}}\hat{\sigma}^2,\\
\tilde{R} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^6}R.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.65}
$$

Next, we fix ω such that $\omega^2\neq\frac{1}{4}$. Then we consider three different cases: 1) $|\hat{\sigma}|<<1$, 2) $1/C\leq|\hat{\sigma}|\leq C$, 3) $|\hat{\sigma}|>>1$.
1) $|\hat{\sigma}|<<1$. We expand $\lambda_{1,2,3}$ w.r.t. $\hat{\sigma}$. Therefore, the expansions of B and D with respect to $\hat{\sigma}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
B &= [R+\sqrt{Q^3+R^2}]^{1/3}+[R-\sqrt{Q^3+R^2}]^{1/3}=-\frac{2P_{20}}{3}+\frac{2}{3}R_1\frac{9}{P_{20}^2}\varepsilon^2\hat{\sigma}^2+\big[\frac{2}{3}R_2\frac{9}{P_{20}^2}\\
&\quad+\frac{2}{9}(R_1^2+S_1^2)\frac{3^5}{P_{20}^5}\varepsilon^6+12R_1\frac{(P_{20}')^2}{P_{20}^4}\big]\varepsilon^4\hat{\sigma}^4+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\hat{\sigma}|^5(\varepsilon+|\hat{\sigma}|)),\\
D &= [R+\sqrt{Q^3+R^2}]^{2/3}+[R-\sqrt{Q^3+R^2}]^{2/3}=\frac{2P_{20}^2}{9}-\frac{4}{3}R_1\frac{3}{P_{20}}\varepsilon^2\hat{\sigma}^2+\big[-\frac{4}{3}R_2\frac{3}{P_{20}}\\
&\quad-\frac{2}{9}(R_1^2+S_1^2)\frac{3^4}{P_{20}^4}\varepsilon^6-4R_1\frac{(P_{20}')^2}{P_{20}^3}\big]\varepsilon^4\hat{\sigma}^4+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4|\hat{\sigma}|^5(\varepsilon+|\hat{\sigma}|)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.66}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_1 &= \frac{9P_{20}P_{12} - 6P_{20}^2P_{22}}{54},\\
R_2 &= \frac{9P_{20}P_{14} + 9P_{22}P_{12} - 27P_{04} - 6P_{20}P_{22}^2 - 6P_{20}^2P_{24}}{54},\\
S_1^2 &= -\frac{P_{20}^2P_{12}^2}{108} + \frac{P_{20}^3P_{04}}{27},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.67}
$$

and P'_{20} denotes the derivative of P_{20} with respect to σ. Also, note that P_{12} and P_{20} are first degree polynomials with respect to σ. Hence, using (3.59) and (3.66), we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= -P_{20} + [(-P_{22} + \frac{P_{12}}{P_{20}})]\varepsilon^2\hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\hat{\sigma}|^3)\\
&= -2(1-4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\sigma + (-\frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{4(1+4\omega^2)}{1-4\omega^2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon))\sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\hat{\sigma}|^3).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.68}
$$

The other two roots are of the form

$$
\lambda_{2,3} = \frac{(-5 + \frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} + \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})\sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\hat{\sigma}|^3) \pm \sqrt{(5 - \frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})^2\sigma^4}}{2}
\tag{3.69}
$$

$$
\underline{\qquad -4(4 - \frac{144s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})\sigma^4 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4|\hat{\sigma}|^5(\varepsilon + |\hat{\sigma}|)) \qquad}
$$

If $4 - \frac{144s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2} < 0$, then $\max \Re\lambda_i > 0$. And if $4 - \frac{144s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2} > 0$, then $\max \Re\lambda_i \leq 0$. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_2(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma) &= (\lambda_- + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon))\varepsilon^2\hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\hat{\sigma}|^3),\\
\lambda_3(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma) &= (\lambda_+ + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon))\varepsilon^2\hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2|\hat{\sigma}|^3),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.70}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_\pm = \frac{(-5 + \frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} + \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2}) \pm \sqrt{(5 - \frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})^2 - 4(4 - \frac{144s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})}}{2}.
\tag{3.71}
$$

2) $1/C \leq |\hat{\sigma}| \leq C$.
For the unperturbed case, we know (see Section 4 for details) that $\Re\lambda_j \leq \eta < 0$ if $4 - \frac{144s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2} > 0$. Since $\hat{\sigma}$ belongs to the compact interval, we deduce that $\Re\lambda_j \leq \hat{\eta} < 0$.
3) $|\hat{\sigma}| >> 1$. It follows from formulas (3.64) and (3.65) that the roots λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 are controlled by $-\sigma^2$, $-4\sigma^2$ and $-4\sigma^2$ respectively.

□

4. Comparison with modified Ginzburg–Landau approximation

In this section we study in more detail the various operations in the modified Ginzburg–Landau expansion, showing that, after natural preconditioning passes on each side, these can be matched step by step with those of the exact Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction procedure. This gives a deeper explanation why the two procedures give the same expansion to their common order of approximation. In the process, we carry out the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4.1. **Modified Ginzburg–Landau derivation through multiscale expansion.** Following [MC00], we start by deriving in detail the modified Ginzburg–Landau system. The derivation is based on the ansatz

$$u(t,x) \approx U_A(\hat{t},\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon A(\hat{t},\hat{x})e^{ix} + c.c. + \varepsilon^2 B(\hat{t},\hat{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 e^{2ix}C(\hat{t},\hat{x}) + c.c. + h.o.t., \tag{4.1}$$

where $(\hat{t},\hat{x}) = (\varepsilon^2 t, \varepsilon x)$.

Substituting this ansatz into (1.2) and collecting terms of the form $\varepsilon^{j_1}e^{i\frac{1}{2}j_2 x}$, we arrive at the equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon e^{ix}: \quad & 0 = -\frac{1}{2}A\partial_x^2\big[-(1+\partial_x^2)^2\big]e^{ix},\\
\varepsilon^2 e^{0ix}: \quad & 0 = \frac{1}{2}s|A|^2\partial_x^2(e^{0ix}),\\
\varepsilon^2 e^{ix}: \quad & 0 = -\frac{1}{4}\partial_{\hat{x}}A\big(4\partial_x\big[-(1+\partial_x^2)^2\big]e^{ix} + 8\partial_x\big[-(1+\partial_x^2)\partial_x^2\big]e^{ix}\big),\\
\varepsilon^2 e^{2ix}: \quad & 0 = \frac{1}{2}(-36Ce^{2ix} - 2sA^2e^{2ix}),\\
\varepsilon^3 e^{ix}: \quad & \partial_{\hat{t}}Ae^{ix} = Ae^{ix} + 4\partial_{\hat{x}}^2Ae^{ix} + (-2sAB + \frac{s^2}{18}|A|^2A)e^{ix} - \frac{3}{4}|A|^2Ae^{ix},\\
\varepsilon^4 e^{0ix}: \quad & \partial_{\hat{t}}B = \partial_{\hat{x}}^2B + \frac{1}{2}s\partial_{\hat{x}}^2(|A|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Hence, we arrive at the modified Ginzburg–Landau system:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\hat{t}}A &= 4\partial_{\hat{x}}^2A + A - \frac{27-2s^2}{36}|A|^2A - 2sAB,\\
\partial_{\hat{t}}B &= \partial_{\hat{x}}^2B + \frac{1}{2}s\partial_{\hat{x}}^2(|A|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Note that (4.3) has the explicit solution:

$$A_{\omega,s}(\hat{x}) = 6\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}e^{i\omega\hat{x}},\ B(\hat{x}) = 0,\ \omega\in[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}],\ s\in(-\sqrt{27/2},\sqrt{27/2}). \tag{4.4}$$

Hence, if we go through the steps in formulas (4.2)-(4.4), replace $\partial_{\hat{x}}$ by $i\omega$, $B(\hat{x})$ by 0 and ignore $\hat{t}$ dependence of A, we will arrive at the following equation for A:

$$-4\omega^2A + A - \frac{27-2s^2}{36}|A|^2A = 0.$$

Remark 4.1. Note that all coefficients in the first equation of (4.3) can be been set to unity by rescaling $\hat{t},\hat{x},A$ and B.

4.2. **Existence: exact theory vs. modified Ginzburg–Landau approximation.** Now that we know the precise scaling in the existence part we use the following ansatz to go through the existence steps and compare them to the steps of the modified Ginzburg–Landau derivation:

$$u = \alpha\cos\xi\varepsilon + V(\varepsilon,\alpha).$$

We substitute this ansatz into the equation

$$(I-Q)N(\varepsilon,k(\varepsilon,\omega),u) = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

1.) First of all, it is clear that $V(0,\alpha)=0$.
2.) Now, we differentiate equation (4.5) with respect to ε.

(4.6)
$$\partial_\varepsilon(I-Q)N(\varepsilon,k,\alpha\varepsilon U_1+V)=(I-Q)\big\{\big(-2\omega\partial_\xi^2[-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2+\varepsilon^2]-k^2\partial_\xi^2[-4\omega(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)\partial_\xi^2+2\varepsilon]\big)u$$
$$-k^2\partial_\xi^2\big(-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2+\varepsilon^2-(2su+3u^2)\big)(\alpha U_1+\partial_\varepsilon V)\big\}=0,$$
where $u=\alpha\varepsilon U_1+V$.
Hence, by step 1.),

$$(I-Q)L_{per}\partial_\varepsilon V|_{\varepsilon=0}=-\alpha(I-Q)L_{per}U_1=0. \tag{4.7}$$

Since $(I-Q)L_{per}(I-P)$ is invertible, $\partial_\varepsilon V|_{\varepsilon=0}=0$.
3.) Next, we would like to compute $\partial_\varepsilon^2 V|_{\varepsilon=0}$. Differentiating (4.6) with respect to ε, we obtain

(4.8)
$$\partial_\varepsilon^2(I-Q)N(\varepsilon,k,\alpha\varepsilon U_1+V)=(I-Q)\big\{\big(-4\omega\partial_\xi^2[-4\omega(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)\partial_\xi^2+2\varepsilon]-k^2\partial_\xi^2[-8\omega^2\partial_\xi^4+2]\big)u$$
$$\big(-2\omega\partial_\xi^2[-2(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2+2\varepsilon^2-(2su+3u^2)]-k^2\partial_\xi^2[-8\omega(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)\partial_\xi^2+4\varepsilon$$
$$-(2s+6u)(\alpha U_1+\partial_\varepsilon V)]\big)(\alpha U_1+\partial_\varepsilon V)-k^2\partial_\xi^2\big(-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2+\varepsilon^2-(2su+3u^2)\big)\partial_\varepsilon^2 V\big\}=0,$$
Or,

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_\varepsilon^2(I-Q)N(\varepsilon,k,\alpha\varepsilon U_1+V)_{\varepsilon=0}=(I-Q)\big\{\big(-2\omega\partial_\xi^2[-2(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2]-\partial_\xi^2[-8\omega(1+\partial_\xi^2)\partial_\xi^2\\&-2s\alpha U_1]\big)(\alpha U_1)-\partial_\xi^2\big(-(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2\big)\partial_\varepsilon^2 V\big\}=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&s\alpha^2(I-Q)\partial_\xi^2(1)=0,\\&-\alpha(I-Q)\big(4\omega\partial_\xi^2[-(1+\partial_\xi^2)^2]+8\omega\partial_\xi^2[-(1+\partial_\xi^2)\partial_\xi^2]\big)U_1=0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(I-Q)L_{per}\partial_\varepsilon^2 V|_{\varepsilon=0}=4s\alpha^2\cos 2\xi. \tag{4.11}$$

Since $span\{\cos 2\xi\}$ is an invariant subspace for the invertible operator $(I-Q)L_{per}(I-P)$, $\frac{1}{2}\partial_\varepsilon^2 V|_{\varepsilon=0}$ is of the form $C\cos 2\xi$ and have the following equation for C:

$$-36C\cos 2\xi=2s\alpha^2\cos 2\xi.$$

So far, we have shown that

$$u=\alpha\cos\xi\varepsilon-\frac{1}{18}s\alpha^2\cos 2\xi\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3). \tag{4.12}$$

Also, notice that in formula (4.12) $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)=\mathcal{O}(\alpha\varepsilon^3)$. Then

$$u=\alpha\cos\xi\varepsilon-\frac{1}{18}s\alpha^2\cos 2\xi\varepsilon^2+\mathcal{O}(\alpha\varepsilon^3). \tag{4.13}$$

In order to obtain the reduced system, we plug (4.13) into the first equation from (2.16), obtaining

$$\tilde{Q}N(\varepsilon,k(\varepsilon),u)=-k^2\tilde{Q}\partial_\xi^2\big[-(1+k^2\partial_\xi^2)^2u+\varepsilon^2u-su^2-u^3\big]=0.$$

Hence, we have the reduced system:

$$-4\omega^2\alpha\varepsilon^3+\alpha\varepsilon^3-\frac{27-2s^2}{36}\alpha^3\varepsilon^3+\mathcal{O}(\alpha\varepsilon^4)=0. \tag{4.14}$$

It is equivalent to

$$-4\omega^2\alpha+\alpha-\frac{27-2s^2}{36}\alpha^3+\mathcal{O}(\alpha\varepsilon)=0. \tag{4.15}$$

Note that, under the imposed scaling, the computations of the reduced (existence) equation derived by Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction agree at each order with derived by the formal modified Ginzburg–Landau approximation.

4.3. **Stability: exact vs. modified Ginzburg–Landau linearized dispersion relations.** Next, we derive the linearized dispersion relations for the modified Ginzburg–Landau system:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\hat{t}} A =& 4\partial_{\hat{x}}^2 A + A - \frac{27-2s^2}{36}|A|^2 A - 2sAB,\\ \partial_{\hat{t}} B =& \partial_{\hat{x}}^2 B + \frac{1}{2}s\partial_{\hat{x}}^2(|A|^2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

In order to study the linearized stability of $A_{\omega,s}(\hat{x}) = 6\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}e^{i\omega\hat{x}},\ B(\hat{x}) = 0,\ \omega \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}],\ s \in (-\sqrt{27/2}, \sqrt{27/2})$, we would like to derive the linearized equation for the model (1.2) around $A_{\omega,s}(\hat{x})$ using the equation

$$\partial_t B = -\partial_x^2\big[-(1+\partial_x^2)^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 2s\tilde{u} - 3\tilde{u}^2)\big]B, \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u} = U_A(\hat{t},\hat{x}) =& \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon A_{\omega,s}(\hat{x})e^{ix} + c.c. + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 C(\hat{x})e^{2ix} + c.c. + h.o.t.,\\ C(\hat{x}) =& -\frac{sA_{\omega,s}^2(\hat{x})}{18},\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

and the ansatz

$$\mathcal{B}(\hat{t},\hat{x}) = b_r e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+x)} - ib_i e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+x)} + c.c. + \varepsilon b_z + \varepsilon e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+2x)}(\Phi_2(\hat{t},\hat{x}) - i\tilde{\Phi}_2(\hat{t},\hat{x}) + c.c.) + h.o.t. \tag{4.19}$$

Substituting this ansatz into (2.41) and collecting terms of the form $(-i)^{j_1}\varepsilon^{j_2}e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+x)}$, we arrive at the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+x)}:&\quad 0 = -b_r e^{i\omega\hat{x}}\partial_x^2\big[-(1+\partial_x^2)^2]e^{ix},\\ -ie^{i(\omega\hat{x}+x)}:&\quad 0 = -b_i e^{i\omega\hat{x}}\partial_x^2\big[-(1+\partial_x^2)^2]e^{ix},\\ \varepsilon e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+2x)}:&\quad 0 = -36\Phi_2 - 24s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}b_r,\\ -i\varepsilon e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+2x)}:&\quad 0 = -36\tilde{\Phi}_2 - 24s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}b_i.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Therefore, $\Phi_2 = -\frac{2s}{3}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}b_r$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_2 = -\frac{2s}{3}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}b_i$.
We also arrive at the compatibility conditions:

(4.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^2 e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+x)}: \quad & \partial_{\hat{t}} b_r = 4\partial_{\hat{x}}^2 b_r - 4\omega^2 b_r + 8\omega\hat{\partial}_{\hat{x}} b_i + (1 - \frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2} - (1-4\omega^2) + \frac{4s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2})b_r \\
& - 12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}b_z, \\
-i\varepsilon^2 e^{i(\omega\hat{x}+x)}: \quad & \partial_{\hat{t}} b_i = -8\omega\hat{\partial}_{\hat{x}} b_r + 4\partial_{\hat{x}}^2 b_i - 4\omega^2 b_i + (1 - \frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2} + (1-4\omega^2) + \frac{4s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2})b_i, \\
\varepsilon^3: \quad & \partial_{\hat{t}} b_z = 6s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\partial_{\hat{x}}^2 b_r + \partial_{\hat{x}}^2 b_z.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the spectral matrix of the linearized operator is of the form

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} -4\hat{\sigma}^2 - 2(1-4\omega^2) - \hat{\lambda} & 8i\omega\hat{\sigma} & -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}} \\ -8i\omega\hat{\sigma} & -4\hat{\sigma}^2 - \hat{\lambda} & 0 \\ -6s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\hat{\sigma}^2 & 0 & -\hat{\sigma}^2 - \hat{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.22}$$

Then, for $|\hat{\sigma}| << 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\lambda}_1(\hat{\sigma}) &= -2(1-4\omega^2) + (-\frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{4(1+4\omega^2)}{1-4\omega^2})\hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\sigma}|^3), \\
\hat{\lambda}_2(\hat{\sigma}) &= \lambda_- \hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\sigma}|^3), \\
\hat{\lambda}_3(\hat{\sigma}) &= \lambda_+ \hat{\sigma}^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\hat{\sigma}|^3), \\
\lambda_\pm &= \frac{(-5 + \frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} + \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2}) \pm \sqrt{(5 - \frac{36s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})^2 - 4(4 - \frac{144s^2}{27-2s^2} - \frac{32\omega^2}{1-4\omega^2})}}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Note that, as in the existence part, the derivation by rigorous Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction, in the Ginzburg–Landau scaling, agrees at each step/order with that by formal modified Ginzburg–Landau approximation as can be seen below.

Now that we know the explicit form of solution in the existence part we use the scaling $\lambda = \varepsilon^2\hat{\lambda}$, $\sigma = \varepsilon\hat{\sigma}$ to go through the spectral matrix steps and compare them to the steps in section 5. We now consider the eigenvalue problem of $B(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \sigma)$:

$$0 = \Big[B(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \hat{\sigma}\varepsilon) - \hat{\lambda}\varepsilon^2 I\Big]W. \tag{4.24}$$

Now, in order to use Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction, we first define the zero eigenprojection

$$\hat{Q}u = \langle U_1, u\rangle U_1 + \langle U_2, u\rangle U_2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}\langle \varepsilon U_3, u\rangle \varepsilon U_3, \quad \text{where} \quad \langle u, v\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} u \cdot v d\xi, \tag{4.25}$$

and define the mapping

$$\tilde{\hat{Q}} : L^2_{per}([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^3; u \mapsto (\langle U_1, u\rangle, \langle U_2, u\rangle, \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}\langle \varepsilon U_3, u\rangle)^T \tag{4.26}$$

Next, we decompose $W = \beta_1 U_1 + \beta_2 U_2 + \beta_3 \varepsilon U_3 + \mathcal{V}$ and we first solve

$$0 = (I - Q)\Big[B(\varepsilon, \omega, s, \hat{\sigma}\varepsilon) - \hat{\lambda}\varepsilon^2 I\Big](\beta_1 U_1 + \beta_2 U_2 + \beta_3 \varepsilon U_3 + \mathcal{V}), \tag{4.27}$$

It is clear that the relation between β and $\mathcal{V}$ is linear. Then, let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1\beta_1 + \mathcal{V}_2\beta_2 + \mathcal{V}_3\beta_3$. Now let us find asymptotic expansions of $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_2$ and $\mathcal{V}_3$ with respect to parameter ε.

1.) First, we compute $\mathcal{V}_i|_{\varepsilon=0}$.

$$0 = (I-\hat{Q})B(0,\omega,s,0)(\beta_1(U_1+\mathcal{V}_1|_{\varepsilon=0})+\beta_2(U_2+\mathcal{V}_2|_{\varepsilon=0})+\beta_3\mathcal{V}_3|_{\varepsilon=0}). \tag{4.28}$$

Since $(I-\hat{Q})B(0,\omega,s,0)(\beta_1U_1+\beta_2U_2)=0$ and $(I-\hat{Q})B(0,\omega,s,0)(I-P)$ is invertible, $\mathcal{V}_i|_{\varepsilon=0}=0$.

2.) Now, we differentiate equation (4.27) with respect to ε and plug in 0 for ε.

(4.29)
$$0 = (I-\hat{Q})\big\{\partial_\varepsilon B(0,\omega,s,0)(\beta_1U_1+\beta_2U_2)+B(0,\omega,s,0)(\beta_1\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_1|_{\varepsilon=0}+\beta_2\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_2|_{\varepsilon=0}+\beta_3\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_3|_{\varepsilon=0})\big\}.$$

Or,

(4.30)
$$\begin{aligned}0 &= (I-\hat{Q})\big\{-\partial_\xi^2\{-12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos\xi(\beta_1U_1+\beta_2U_2)\}+L_{per}(\beta_1\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_1|_{\varepsilon=0}+\beta_2\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_2|_{\varepsilon=0}+\beta_3\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_3|_{\varepsilon=0})\big\}\\ &= -24s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}(\beta_1\cos2\xi+\beta_2\sin2\xi)+(I-\hat{Q})L_{per}(\beta_1\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_1|_{\varepsilon=0}+\beta_2\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_2|_{\varepsilon=0}+\beta_3\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_3|_{\varepsilon=0}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_1|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \Phi_2\cos2\xi,\\ \beta_2\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_2|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \tilde{\Phi}_2\sin2\xi,\\ \beta_3\partial_\varepsilon\mathcal{V}_3|_{\varepsilon=0} &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Substituting (4.31) into (4.30) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= -24s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\beta_1-36\Phi_2,\\ 0 &= -24s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\beta_2-36\tilde{\Phi}_2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Hence, $W=\beta_1U_1+\beta_2U_2+\beta_3\varepsilon U_3+\mathcal{V}=(U_1-\frac{2s}{3}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\cos2\xi\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2))\beta_1+(U_2-\frac{2s}{3}\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\sin2\xi\varepsilon+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2))\beta_2+(\varepsilon U_3+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2))\beta_3$. Next, we plug W into

$$0 = \tilde{\hat{Q}}\Big[B(\varepsilon,\omega,s,\hat{\sigma}\varepsilon)-\hat{\lambda}\varepsilon^2I\Big]W. \tag{4.33}$$

Then we arrive at

(4.34)
$$\begin{aligned}0 =& -\hat{\lambda}\varepsilon^2\beta_1-(4\hat{\sigma}^2+4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2\beta_1+8i\omega\hat{\sigma}\varepsilon^2\beta_2+(1-\frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2}-(1-4\omega^2)+\frac{4s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2})\varepsilon^2\beta_1\\ &-12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\varepsilon^2\beta_3,\\ 0 =& -8i\omega\hat{\sigma}\varepsilon^2\beta_1-\hat{\lambda}\varepsilon^2\beta_2-(4\hat{\sigma}^2+4\omega^2)\varepsilon^2\beta_2+(1-\frac{54(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2}+(1-4\omega^2)+\frac{4s^2(1-4\omega^2)}{27-2s^2})\varepsilon^2\beta_2,\\ 0 =& -6s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\hat{\sigma}^2\varepsilon^2\beta_1-\hat{\lambda}\varepsilon^2\beta_3-\hat{\sigma}\varepsilon^2\beta_3.\end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} -4\hat{\sigma}^2 - 2(1-4\omega^2) - \hat{\lambda} & 8i\omega\hat{\sigma} & -12s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}} \\ -8i\omega\hat{\sigma} & -4\hat{\sigma}^2 - \hat{\lambda} & 0 \\ -6s\sqrt{\frac{1-4\omega^2}{27-2s^2}}\hat{\sigma}^2 & 0 & -\hat{\sigma}^2 - \hat{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3). \tag{4.35}$$

This yields in passing agreement of the critical linearized dispersion relations.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Note that the exact reduced spectral system given by (3.46) and Corollary 3.4 agrees after Ginzburg Landau scaling ($\sigma =: \varepsilon\hat{\sigma}$, $\lambda_j =: \varepsilon^2\hat{\lambda}_j$) to appropriate order with the matrix eigenvalue problem (4.22). Also, it follows from formulas (3.70) and (4.23) that the roots likewise agree to lowest order, giving the result, (1.12).

□

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Prof. K. Zumbrun for many suggestions and helpful discussions.

References

[BJK16] B. Barker, S. Jung and K. Zumbrun, *Turing patterns in parabolic systems of conservation laws and numerically observed stability of periodic waves*, preprint.

[DSSS] A. Doelman, B. Sandstede, A. Scheel, and G. Schneider, *The dynamics of modulated wavetrains,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 199 (2009), no. 934, viii+105 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4293-5.

[C] M. Cross, *Notes on the Turing Instability and Chemical Instabilities,* unpublished lecture notes, http://www.cmp.caltech.edu/m̃cc/BNU/Notes7_2.pdf.

[CH93] M.C. Cross and P.C. Hohenberg, *Pattern formation outside of equilibrium*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65, 851–1112.

[CL] P. Chossat and R. Lauterbach, *Methods in Equivariant Bifurcations and Dynamical Systems,* Advanced series in nonlinear dynamics, World Scientific, 2000.

[E] W. Eckhaus, *Studies in nonlinear stability theory,* Springer tracts in Nat. Phil. Vol. 6, 1965.

[EV87] D.E. Edmunds, W.D. Evans, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators,* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.

[GS] M. Golubitsky and D. Schaeffer, *Singularities and Groups in Bifurcation Theory,* Volume I, Applied Mathematical Sciences 51, Springer–Verlag New York, 1985.

[JNRZ1] M. Johnson, P. Noble, L.M. Rodrigues, and K. Zumbrun, *Nonlocalized modulation of periodic reaction diffusion waves: Nonlinear stability,* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 207 (2013), no. 2, 693–715.

[JNRZ2] M. Johnson, P. Noble, L.M. Rodrigues, and K. Zumbrun, *Nonlocalized modulation of periodic reaction diffusion waves: The Whitham equation,* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 207 (2013), no. 2, 669–692.

[JZ] M. Johnson and K. Zumbrun, *Nonlinear stability of spatially-periodic traveling-wave solutions of systems of reaction-diffusion equations,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linaire 28 (2011), no. 4, 471–483.

[MC00] P. C. Matthews and S. M. Cox, *Pattern formation with a conservation law,* Nonlinearity 13 (2000), no. 4, 1293–1320.

[M1] A. Mielke, *A new approach to sideband-instabilities using the principle of reduced instability,* Nonlinear dynamics and pattern formation in the natural environment (Noordwijkerhout, 1994), 206–222, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 335, Longman, Harlow, 1995.

[M2] A. Mielke, *Instability and stability of rolls in the Swift-Hohenberg equation,* Comm. Math. Phys. 189 (1997), no. 3, 829–853.

[M3] A. Mielke, *The Ginzburg-Landau equation in its role as a modulation equation,* Handbook of dynamical systems, Vol. 2, 759–834, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[NW] A.C. Newell and J. Whitehead, *Finite bandwidth, finite amplitude convection,* J. Fluid Mech. 39 (1969) 279–303.

[RS80] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis,* Second edition. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1980.

[SSSU] B. Sandstede, A. Scheel, G. Schneider, and H. Uecker, *Diffusive mixing of periodic wave trains in reaction-diffusion systems,* J. Diff. Eq. 252 (2012), no. 5, 3541–3574.

[S1] G. Schneider, *Diffusive stability of spatial periodic solutions of the Swift-Hohenberg equation,* Commun. Math. Phys. 178, 679–202 (1996).

[S2] G. Schneider, *Nonlinear diffusive stability of spatially periodic solutions– abstract theorem and higher space dimensions*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Asymptotics in Nonlinear Diffusive Systems (Sendai, 1997), 159–167, Tohoku Math. Publ., 8, Tohoku Univ., Sendai, 1998.

[SZJV16] A. Sukhtayev, K. Zumbrun, S. Jung and R. Venkatraman, *Diffusive stability of spatially periodic solutions of the Brusselator model*, preprint,

[T] A. Turing, *The chemical basis of morphogenesis,* Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Ser. B 237 (1952) 37–72.

Indiana University, Bloomington
E-mail address: alimsukh@iu.edu